Message

From: Whittier, Robert [Robert.Whittier@doh.hawaii.gov]

Sent: 9/13/2016 5:35:58 PM

To: Linder, Steven [Linder.Steven@epa.gov]; Takaba, Richard R [richard.takaba@doh.hawaii.gov];

steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov

CC: Huetteman, Tom [Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov]; Shalev, Omer [Shalev.Omer@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: Section 67 SOW disapproval and comments 13Sep16 ver scl.docx **Attachments**: Desired Qualification for a Red Hill Groundwater Modeling Team.docx

Good Morning Steve and All,

Attached is my draft of the desired qualifications for the groundwater risk assessment team. I use the term "team" since it may turn out that no single firm or organization has all of the requisite skills.

I understand the Navy's concern that a moderate fuel release caused by poor workmanship is requiring them to invest large amounts of money in investigations not directly related to the 2014 release. However, from the Drinking Water Source risk perspective, there is a potential for a catastrophic event to occur. The fallout from the 2014 release is the only opportunity to properly evaluate risk posed by Red Hill and develop a response plan if such an event should occur.

Thanks, Bob W>

From: Linder, Steven <Linder.Steven@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 6:27:08 AM

To: Whittier, Robert; Takaba, Richard R; Chang, Steven Y

Cc: Huetteman, Tom; Shalev, Omer

Subject: Section 67 SOW disapproval and comments 13Sep16 ver scl.docx

I am in the process of some big changes to the section 6/7 letter. I am taking a somewhat different approach that what Bob Pallarino had drafted.

I am changing the cover letter to a letter asking the Navy/DLA to make some broad changes to the SOW and also create some other specific deliverables.

I am also planning to attach detailed tech comments from the agencies and BWS to the cover, and ask the Navy to address these as part of their effort to revise this SOW.

This SOW was not much of a workplan. It did not really describe much of the work that was being planned. In my opinion, all this SOW says is that the Navy will put in four wells, update the model with data from these wells, and produce a report.

I also think we need to be getting work product from the Navy in more bite sized chunks vs. documents that have way too much stuff piled into them.